## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

| Kentwan Laquintta Lake,                     | ) C/A NO. 3:11-628-CMC-PJC |
|---|----------------------------|
| Plaintiff,                                  | ) OPINION and ORDER        |
| v.  | )                          |
| South Carolina Department of Mental Health, | )<br>)<br>)                |
| Defendant.                                  | )<br>)<br>)                |

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, filed in this court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Paige J. Gossett for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On April 12, 2011, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the complaint be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff filed objections to the Report on April 21, 2011.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by

3:11-cv-00628-CMC-PJG Date Filed 05/02/11 Entry Number 14 Page 2 of 2

the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).

After conducting a *de novo* review as to objections made, and considering the record, the applicable law, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and Plaintiff's objections, the court agrees with the conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. However, in his objections, Plaintiff seeks an opportunity to amend his complaint. Accordingly, Plaintiff shall have fifteen (15) days from the entry date of this Order to submit an amended complaint. Upon receipt of the amended complaint, the Magistrate Judge will conduct further pretrial review. Failure to submit an amended complaint within the required time period will result in dismissal of this complaint for the reasons stated by the Magistrate Judge in the Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina May 2, 2011